

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,123	03/02/2004	Reinhard Berger	LUKP:108US	2635
24041	7590 12/02/2005		EXAM	INER
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET			BONCK, RODNEY H	
WILLIAMSV	ILLE, NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3681	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/791,123	BERGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney H. Bonck	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timety filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>12,15,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>September 12, 2005 an</u>	<u>d Ocotber 19, 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)⊡ objected to by				
the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the amendments received September 12, 2005 and October 19, 2005.

Drawings

The drawings were received on September 12, 2005 and October 19, 2005.

These "replacement sheets" submitted October 19, 2005 and the "new sheet" submitted September 12, 2005 are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed time period of "one second or less" does not appear to have basis in the original disclosure. A time period of between 0.1 and 1 second is disclosed, but now claiming "one second or less" would expand the range beyond that disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,123

Art Unit: 3681

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The language of the claim, "when there is when shifting" is awkward and should be reworded. The intended meaning is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art described in paragraph [0002] in view of Drexl et al. ('290). Paragraph [0002] describes prior art in which friction clutches are operated via a hydraulic disengagement system comprising manually or automatically operated master cylinder and a slave cylinder. The clutch is disengaged in the force-free state of the clutch.

Apparently the prior art lacks the claimed pressure relief device. The Drexl et al. device is a clutch system wherein a clutch master cylinder 60 operates a slave cylinder 16. To prevent damage from excess pressure, a pressure relief is provide in the line between the master cylinder and the slave cylinder. It would have been obvious to carry this teaching to the prior art disclosed in applicants' paragraph [0002], the motivation being to protect against excess pressure in the system. To dispose pressure relief devices for plural clutches in the same clutch housing would have been an obvious space-saving expedient to one having ordinary skill in this art.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art described in paragraph [0002] in view of Drexl et al. ('290) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Kimmig et al. ('772). The combination of the admitted prior art and the Drexl et al. device does not necessarily involve incorporating the pressure relief in the master cylinder. The Kimmig et al. device discloses a hydraulic system for clutch operation (see Fig. 15) wherein a pressure relief 667 is integrated into the master cylinder 650. It would have been obvious to incorporate this teaching of Kimmig et al. in the prior art described in paragraph [0002] when

Art Unit: 3681

incorporating a pressure relief, the motivation being to reduce the number of separate parts for final assembly.

Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art described in paragraph [0002] in view of Drexl et al.('290) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Black et al.('392). In providing a pressure relief in the prior art device of paragraph [0002], as taught by Drexl et al., no interconnection between the transmission control and the pressure control would be provided. Black et al., however, suggest providing pressure control 101 of the clutch release mechanically interconnected with the transmission controller 86. It would have been obvious to carry this teaching to the prior art device, the motivation being to provide pressure control during shifting. The rod 102 is considered equivalent to a Bowden cable connecting the transmission control and the pressure control valve.

Claims 8, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art described in paragraph [0002] in view of Drexl et al.('290) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Bardoll et al.('234). In providing a pressure relief in the prior art device of paragraph [0002], as taught by Drexl et al., no interconnection between the transmission control and the pressure control would be provided. Bardoll et al., however, suggest providing control of the hydraulically actuated clutches 3, 5 via an electrical connection with transmission

control 19. It would have been obvious to carry this teaching to the prior art device, the motivation being to provide pressure control during shifting.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 15, 20, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murata('409) is cited for its showing of dual fluid actuated clutches. Hayashi et al.('256) shows pressure relief 18 in a clutch actuation fluid circuit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 12, 2005 have been fully considered and the rejections based on Hirt et al.(US 2003/0051964 A1) are withdrawn. Amendments to the claims, however, necessitate new grounds of rejection, as set forth above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 7

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (571) 272-7089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,123

Art Unit: 3681

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney H. Bonck Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3681

rhb

November 28, 2005